OCKET FILE COST TO THE MAN

#### LUKAS, NACE, GUTIERREZ & SACHS

CHARTERED 1111 NINETEENTH STREET, N.W. **SUITE 1200** 

RUSSELL D. LUKAS DAVID L. NACE THOMAS GUTIERREZ ELIZABETH R. SACHS GEORGE L. LYON, JR. PAMELA L GIST DAVID A. LAFURIA TERRY J. ROMINE J. JUSTIN McCLURE MARILYN SUCHECKI MENSE PAMELA GAARY HOLRAN B. LYNN F. RATNAVALE

+ NOT ADMITTED IN D.C.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036 (202) 857-3500

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

January 28, 1998

THOMAS G. ADCOCK, P.E. MEHRAN NAZARI ALI KUZEHKANANI SHAHRAM HOJATI, D.SC. LEROY A. ADAM LEILA REZANAVAZ FARID SEYEDVOSOGHI

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

OF COUNSEL JOHN J. MCAVOY J.K. HAGE III+

TELECOPIER

(202) 842-4485 Email: Ings@fcclaw.com

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

http://www.fcclaw.com WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL

(202) 828-9471

HAND DELIVERED

Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 200 Washington, DC 20554

> RE: EX PARTE PRESENTATION PR DOCKET NO. 92-235

Dear Ms. Salas:

On January 27, 1998, Steven E. Fulford, David George and Ralph Haller, met with Mark Rubin of the Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs to discuss ComSpace Corporation's ("ComSpace") development of DC/MA Technology. As part of that discussion, ComSpace recommended that the FCC adopt rules in the above-referenced rule making proceeding to provide for channel exclusivity, mandatory dates for migration, and economic incentives to implement more efficient technologies. A written presentation was distributed and reviewed during the meeting. A copy of that presentation in attached hereto.

In accordance with Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's Rules, two copies of this letter are hereby filed with the Secretary's office and a copy of this filing is being sent today to the FCC personnel present during the meeting.

Kindly refer any questions or correspondence regarding this matter to the undersigned.

COMSPACE CORPORATION

By:

ttorney

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDF

Enclosure

Mark Rubin

cc:



### DC/MATM TECHNOLOGY

(DYNAMIC CHANNEL MULTICARRIER ARCHITECTURE)

## INCREASING SPECTRAL EFFICIENCY

January 26/27, 1998 Washington, D.C.

ComSpace



- ◆ Steven E. Fulford, President & CEO
- ♦ David L. George, Executive Vice President & CTO
- ♦ Ralph A. Haller, Special Consultant

The Company was founded by Steven E. Fulford and David L. George in 1993 on their belief that the two-way radio industry needed a new technology that could increase capacity economically for all operations regardless of frequency band.




## COMSPACETM PREMISE

TO DEVELOP TECHNOLOGY AND PRODUCTS THAT INCREASE THE CAPACITY OF AND ADD FEATURES TO WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.



## DCMATM TECHNOLOGY



#### WHO NEEDS THIS TECHNOLOGY? (partial list)

CMRS Providers

Public Safety

Garbage Trucks

Medical Services Laboratories

**Excavating Contractors** 

**Electrical contractors** 

Heating & A/C Companies
Plumbers

Landscapers

Concrete - Ready-Mix

Concrete Finishers

Couriers

Short Haul Trucking

Varmicompanies

Maintenance Departments

Security Departments

Food Deliveries

Remodeling

Road Construction

Hotel Shuttles

Taxi Service

Limo Sservice



## HOW ECONOMIC IS BC/MATM?

BUT IS BEEN EXAMPLE TO EXPAND A SECOND SECOND OF THE CHANNELS

ATM

COST OF ACQUIRING 4 NEW CHANNELS

TOTAL

PE-SAVINGS: \$1,293,300 = \$1,193,360


<sup>1</sup>Uniden Quote 10.

ComSpace

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Target Price

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Based on a five channel SMR system in Dallas, TX having an estimated market value of \$1,500,000

## WHAT IS DC/MA?



ComSpace

FIVE DCMA<sup>TM</sup> CHANNELS IN A SINGLE 25kHz CHANNEL!

# WHY WILL LICENSEES BUY DG/MAM?

- ◆ 5X CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT
- ♦ SIMPLE UPGRADE PATH EASY TO RETROFIT
- ♦ USES SAME SITE AND ANTENNA SYSTEM
- **◆ LOW COST**
- NEW FEATURES



## HOW DOES THE PUBLIC BENEFIT FROM DCMATM?

- ◆ IMPLEMENTED ON EXISTING ALLOCATED CHANNELS DECREASES DEMAND FOR NEW SPECTRUM.

  1 EXISTING CHANNEL = 5 NEW CHANNELS!
- **◆ INCREASED COMMUNICATION PROVIDER CHOICES**
- **♦ MORE CAPACITY -- MORE SERVICES**
- ◆ LOWER COST BASED ON COMPETITIVE "MARKET FORCES"



## REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT



#### **ALREADY THERE! NO CHANGES NEEDED!**

- 1) COMMERCIAL DC/MA PRODUCT IS UNDER DEVELOPMENT!
- 2) MULTIPLE MANUFACTURERS
- 3) BOTH SUBSCRIBER AND BASESTATION PRODUCTS



## 470 MHz AND BELOW

ADOPTION OF 3 ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS
WOULD FURTIEUR OF DC/MATM

AND

OTHER SPECTRALLY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGIES TO

"ALLOW MARKET FORCES TO DECIDE"



# FIRST -- CHANNEL EXCLUSION

WELLE OF TZE YOU HAVE CHOSE TO THE TENTH THIS ISSUE

HOMEVER

IF ENACTED, WOULD BY CALL FOR THE HNUT OGIES
SUCH AS DEAL FOR THE THERS.

OLEN LATEGY, WHEN EXPENDENTED, CREATES

ON CHANNEL TRUNKING"



## SECOND -MANDATORY DATES FOR MIGRATION

AGAIN, THIS ISSUE HAS BEEN DEFERRED

ENJOYS WIDE INDUSTRY SUPPORT

BUSINESS STRATEGIES REQUIRE TIME FRAMES.

# THIRD -ECONOMIC INCENTIVES

- THERE MUST BE ASSURANCE THAT CLEARED CHANNELS ARE RETAINED BY INCUMBENTS IF THEY DEPLOY TECHNOLOGIES THAT MEET F.C.C. EFFICIENCY STANDARDS BY DATES CERTAIN.
- LICENSING FEES (IF AUTHORIZED BY CONGRESS) MAY ALSO BE STRUCTURED TO ENCOURAGE TRANSITION TO MORE EFFECTIVE FREQUENCY USA
- ◆ THOSE WHO DO NOT MIGRATE TO 2X OR 4X STANDARDS WITHIN SPECIFIED TIME FRAMES SHOULD BE SUBJECT TO SPECTRUM TAKE BACKS.




## REFARMINGUHEWHE

#### F.C.C. DESERVES "HIGH MARKS" FOR

- ◆ AUTHORIZATION OF MULTI CHANNEL TRUNKED SYSTEMS AT 450-512 MHz WHICH HAVE BEEN SO SUCCESSFUL IN INCREASING TOTAL TRANSMISSION CAPACITY AT 806-894 MHz
- ◆ ESTABLISHMENT OF 1/1/2005 TYPE ACCEPTANCE DEADLINE FOR ALL NEW MODELS OF EQUIPMENT TO REQUIRE 4 TIMES CAPACITY WITHIN CURRENT 25 RHZ CHANNEL BANDWIDTH (6.25 -Vs- 25 TODAY)
- ◆ ADOPTION OF FREQUENCY ASSUMENT PLAN THAT UTILIZES CURRENT CENTER CHANNELS AND ALLOWS A SMOOTH TRANSITION TO MINIMIZE ADJACENT CHANNEL INTERFERENCE



DCMA™ /Refarming
Overlay



Center of currently licensed channel

## SUMMARY

COMSPACET DC/MATM TECHNOLOGY ABLOWS AS MINICIPLE CHANNEL

- -- PERMITS "ON CHANNEL TRUNKING" "ONE 25 kHZ: CHANNEL EQUALS FIVE PLUS'
- -- TRANSITIONS PRESENT CHANNEL PLAN AND MAXIMIZES EFFICIENT SPECTRUM USE IN PARTICULAR REFARMING INITIATIVES

MARKET FORCES WILL WORK BEST IF REFARMING INCLUDES PROVISIONS FOR:

- 1) CHANNEL EXCLUSIVITY
- 2) MANDATORY DATES FOR MIGRATION
- 3) USE OF ECONOMIC INCENTIVES

RESULT -- MAXIMUM UTILIZATION OF SCARCE SPECTRUM



#### **ADDENDUM**

## COMPANY HIGHLIGHTS

- UTI FORMED IN 1993 BY STEVE FULFORD AND DAVID GEORGE, BOTH FORMER EXECUTIVES OF UNIDENCEDIAL BY LENBROOK INDUSTRIES, CANADA.
- UWD FORMED IN 1993; SUBSIDIARY BETWEEN UTI AND WSIL (WIRELESS SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL A SPINOUT FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, BRISTOL ENGLAND)
- ◆ PATENTS FILED ON DYNAMIC CHANNEL MULTICARRIER ARCHITECTURE "DC/MA" TECHNOLOGY IN APRIL, 1995.
- ◆ FULLY FUNCTIONAL DEMONSTRATION COMPLETED IN AUGUST, 1996.
- ◆ RECEIVED \$8.5 MILLION IN 2ND ROUND FUNDING BY SEVIN ROSEN IN OCTOBER, 1997.
- ◆ BOUGHT 100% OWNERSHIP IN DC/MATECHNOLOGY FROM WSIL AND UWD IN OCTOBER, 1997.
- ◆ CHANGED NAME TO COMSPACE™ CORP.

